arXiv:1601.07071v1 [math.OC] 26 Jan 2016

Adaptive Leader-Following Consensus for a Class of Nonlinear Multi-Agent Systems with Jointly Connected Switching Networks

Wei Liu and Jie Huang

Abstract

This paper studies the leader-following consensus problem for a class of nonlinear multi-agent systems with linearly parameterized uncertainty subject to jointly connected switching networks. To deal with the jointly connected switching networks, a distributed adaptive control law utilizing certainty equivalence principle and the adaptive distributed observer is developed. Global convergence of the closed-loop system is established. The design procedure is illustrated by a leader-following consensus problem for a group of Van der Pol oscillators.

Index Terms

Adaptive control, adaptive distributed observer, leader-following consensus, multi-agent systems, nonlinear control, switching networks.

I. Introduction

The leader-following consensus problem is one of the fundamental cooperative control problems for multi-agent systems, whose objective is to design a distributed control law for each follower such that the states (or outputs) of all followers approach a desired trajectory generated by a leader system. The problem was first studied for linear multi-agent systems in, say, [3], [4], [6], [10], [13], and then further studied for nonlinear multi-agent systems in, say, [8], [9], [12], [15], [16], [18] for the case where the network is static, and in [7], [17] for the case where the network is switching but connected for all time. The leader-following consensus problem for uncertain multi-agent systems subject to jointly connected switching networks has been a challenging to the control community since a jointly connected switching network can be disconnected at any time instant.

This work has been supported by the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administration Region under grant No. 412813.

Wei Liu and Jie Huang are with Department of Mechanical and Automation Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. Email: wliu@mae.cuhk.edu.hk, jhuang@mae.cuhk.edu.hk.

Corresponding author: Jie Huang.

In this paper, we will consider the leader-following consensus problem for the following second-order nonlinear multi-agent systems but subject to jointly connected switching networks:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}_i &= p_i, \\ \dot{p}_i &= f_i^T(q_i, p_i)\theta_i + d_i(w) + u_i, \quad i = 1, \cdots, N \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $q_i, p_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are the states, $u_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the input, $f_i(q_i, p_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ is a matrix with every element being some known continuous function, $\theta_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is an unknown constant parameter vector, $d_i(w) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the disturbance with $d_i(\cdot)$ being some $\mathcal{C}^1$ function, and w is generated by the following linear exosystem system

$$\dot{w} = S_b w \tag{2}$$

with $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n_w}$ and $S_b \in \mathbb{R}^{n_w\times n_w}$. It is assumed that the reference signal is generated by the following linear exosystem

$$\dot{x}_0 = S_a x_0 \tag{3}$$

where $x_0 = \text{col}(q_0, p_0)$ with $q_0, p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $v = \text{col}(x_0, w)$ and $S = \text{diag}(S_a, S_b)$. Then we can put (2) and (3) together and get the following exosystem

$$\dot{v} = Sv. \tag{4}$$

The leader-following consensus problem for the same system described by (1) and (4) but subject to static networks was studied recently in [8]. The approach in [8] is based on the certainty equivalence principle and the employment of a static adaptive distributed observer. The adaptive distributed observer was first proposed in [1] for undirected switching networks and some further results were obtained for directed static networks in [2]. In order for the certainty equivalence principle to work, it is necessary to require the adaptive distributed observer be able to estimate the leader's signal exponentially fast. However, in [1], it was only shown that the switching adaptive distributed observer was able to estimate the leader's signal asymptotically. Thus, here, we will further establish a new technical lemma to show that the switching adaptive distributed observer is capable of estimating the leader's signal exponentially fast. By this technical lemma,we are able to synthesize a distributed adaptive control law based on the certainty equivalence principle, and provide a global analysis for the overall closed-loop system.

It is noted that since a jointly connected switching network can be disconnected at any time instant, the approaches used in [8], [9], [12], [15], [16], [18] for the static network and in [7], [17] for the every time connected switching network do not work here. It is also worth mentioning that our result does not rely on the global Lipschitz assumption or the global Lipschitz-like assumption on the nonlinear functions required in [9], [12], [17], [18].

Notation. For any column vectors a_i, $i = 1, ..., s$, denote $\text{col}(a_1, ..., a_s) = [a_1^T, ..., a_s^T]^T$. $\otimes$ denotes the Kronecker product of matrices. Vector $\mathbf{1}_N$ denotes an N-dimensional column vector with all elements being 1. $\|x\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of vector x. $\|A\|$ denotes the induced norm of matrix A by the Euclidean norm. $\lambda_{\max}(A)$ and $\lambda_{\min}(A)$ denote the maximum eigenvalue and the minimum eigenvalue of a symmetric real matrix A, respectively.

II. Problem Formulation

Like in [8], we treat the system composed of (1) and (4) as a multi-agent system of $(N+1)$ agents with (4) as the leader and the N subsystems of (1) as N followers. Given the system (1), the exosystem (2), and a switching signal $\sigma(t)$[1], we can define a time-varying digraph $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{\sigma(t)} = (\bar{\mathcal{V}}, \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{\sigma(t)})$[2] with $\bar{\mathcal{V}} = \{0, 1, \ldots, N\}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{\sigma(t)} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{V}} \times \bar{\mathcal{V}}$ for all $t \geq 0$, where the node 0 is associated with the leader system (4) and the node i, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, is associated with the ith subsystem of system (1). For $i = 1, \ldots, N$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, N$, $(j, i) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{\sigma(t)}$ if and only if u_i can use the information of the jth subsystem for control at time instant t. Let $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_i(t) = \{j, (j, i) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{\sigma(t)}\}$ denote the neighbor set of agent i at time t. Then we describe our control law as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &= h_i(q_i, p_i, \zeta_i, q_j, p_j, \zeta_j, j \in \bar{\mathcal{N}}_i(t)) \\ \dot{\zeta}_i &= l_i(q_i, p_i, \zeta_i, q_j, p_j, \zeta_j, j \in \bar{\mathcal{N}}_i(t)),\ \ i = 1, \cdots, N. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where h_i and l_i are some nonlinear functions. A control law of the form (5) is called a distributed control law since u_i only depends on the information of its neighbors and itself. Now we describe our problem as follows.

Problem 2.1: Given the multi-agent system (1), the exosystem (4), a switching graph $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{\sigma(t)}$, design a control law of the form (5), such that, for any initial states $q_i(0)$, $p_i(0)$, $\zeta_i(0)$ and $v(0)$, $q_i(t)$ and $p_i(t)$ exist for all $t \geq 0$, and satisfy $\lim_{t\to+\infty}(q_i(t) - q_0(t)) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to+\infty}(p_i(t) - p_0(t)) = 0$.

Let us end this section with two assumptions.

Assumption 2.1: The exosystem (4) is neutrally stable, i.e., all the eigenvalues of S are semi-simple with zero real parts.

Remark 2.1: Assumption 2.1 is very standard and has been used in [1], [7], [8], [16]. Without loss of generality, we assume

$$S_a = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n\times n} & I_n \\ S_{a1} & S_{a2} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n\times 2n} \tag{6}$$

where $S_{a1}, S_{a2} \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ are two constant matrices. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}_0 &= p_0, \\ \dot{p}_0 &= S_{a1}q_0 + S_{a2}p_0. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

[1]See Appendix A for some terminologies of switching signal.

[2]See Appendix B for a summary of graph.

In addition, as remarked in [8], under Assumption 2.1, given any compact set $\mathbb{V}_0$, there exists a compact set $\mathbb{V}$ such that, for any $v(0) \in \mathbb{V}_0$, the trajectory $v(t)$ of the exosystem (4) remains in $\mathbb{V}$ for all time.

Before we introduce the second assumption, we introduce some notation. Let $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{\sigma(t)} = [\bar{a}_{ij}(t)] \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+1)\times(N+1)}$ be the weighted adjacency matrix of $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{\sigma(t)}$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma(t)} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}_\sigma(t))$ be the subgraph of $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{\sigma(t)}$, where $\mathcal{V} = \{1, \cdots, N\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma(t)} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ is obtained from $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{\sigma(t)}$ by removing all edges between the node 0 and the nodes in $\mathcal{V}$.

Assumption 2.2: There exists a subsequence $\{i_k\}$ of $\{i : i = 0, 1, \cdots\}$ with $t_{i_{k+1}} - t_{i_k} < \epsilon$ for some positive ϵ such that every node $i = 1, \cdots, N$ is reachable from the node 0 in the union graph $\bigcup_{j=i_k}^{i_{k+1}-1} \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{\sigma(t_j)}$.

Remark 2.2: Assumption 2.2 is called jointly connected condition [14], and is perhaps the mildest condition on switching networks since it allows a network to be disconnected at any time instant. It is clear that the jointly connected switching network contains the static network [8], [9], [12], [15], [16], [18] and the every time connected switching network [7], [17] as special cases.

III. Adaptive distributed observer

In this section, we will establish a technical lemma for the adaptive distributed observer for the linear leader system (4), which was proposed in [1] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S}_i &= \mu_1 \sum_{j=0}^{N} \bar{a}_{ij}(t)(S_j - S_i) \\ \dot{\hat{v}}_i &= S_i \hat{v}_i + \mu_2 \sum_{j=0}^{N} \bar{a}_{ij}(t)(\hat{v}_j - \hat{v}_i),\ i = 1, \cdots, N \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $S_0 = S \in \mathbb{R}^{n_v \times n_v}$, $\hat{v}_0 = v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_v}$, $S_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_v \times n_v}$ and $\hat{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_v}$ with $n_v = 2n + n_w$ and $i = 1, \cdots, N$, μ_1 and μ_2 are any positive constants.

Let $\tilde{v}_i = \hat{v}_i - v$ and $\tilde{S}_i = S_i - S$ for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{S}}_i &= \mu_1 \sum_{j=0}^{N} \bar{a}_{ij}(t)(\tilde{S}_j - \tilde{S}_i) \\ \dot{\tilde{v}}_i &= \tilde{S}_i \hat{v}_i + S\tilde{v}_i + \mu_2 \sum_{j=0}^{N} \bar{a}_{ij}(t)(\tilde{v}_j - \tilde{v}_i),\ i = 1, \cdots, N. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Let $\tilde{v} = \text{col}(\tilde{v}_1, \cdots, \tilde{v}_N)$, $\hat{v} = \text{col}(\hat{v}_1, \cdots, \hat{v}_N)$, $\tilde{S} = \text{col}(\tilde{S}_1, \cdots, \tilde{S}_N)$, and $\tilde{S}_d = \text{block diag}\{\tilde{S}_1, \cdots, \tilde{S}_N\}$. Then (9) can be put into the following compact form.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{S}} &= -\mu_1 (H_{\sigma(t)} \otimes I_{n_v}) \tilde{S} \\ \dot{\tilde{v}} &= (I_N \otimes S - \mu_2 (H_{\sigma(t)} \otimes I_{n_v}))\tilde{v} + \tilde{S}_d \hat{v}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $H_{\sigma(t)} = [h_{ij}(t)]_{i,j=1}^{N}$ with $h_{ij}(t) = -\bar{a}_{ij}(t)$ for $i \neq j$ and $h_{ii}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \bar{a}_{ij}(t)$.

It was shown in Lemmas 1 and 2 of [1] that, under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2 and the assumption that the subgraph $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma(t)}$ of $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{\sigma(t)}$ is undirected, $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \tilde{S}(t) = 0$ exponentially and $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \tilde{v}(t) = 0$ asymptotically. However, to solve our problem, we require $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \tilde{v}(t) = 0$ exponentially. For this purpose, we will strengthen Lemma 2 of [1] to the following.

Lemma 3.1: Under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2, for any $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$, and any initial conditions $\tilde{S}(0)$ and $\tilde{v}(0)$, we have

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \tilde{S}(t) = 0 \tag{11}$$

exponentially and

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \tilde{v}(t) = 0 \tag{12}$$

exponentially.

Proof: By Corollary 4 of [14], under Assumption 2.2, for any $\mu_1 > 0$, the origin of the $\tilde{S}$-subsystem of (10) is exponentially stable. That is to say, $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \tilde{S}(t) = 0$ exponentially. Thus, we only need to prove $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \tilde{v}(t) = 0$ exponentially. Let $A(t) = (I_N \otimes S - \mu_2(H_{\sigma(t)} \otimes I_{n_v}))$ and $F(t) = \tilde{S}_d(t)(\mathbf{1}_N \otimes v)$. Then, the second equation of (10) can be put into the following compact form:

$$\dot{\tilde{v}} = A(t)\tilde{v} + \tilde{S}_d(t)\tilde{v} + F(t) \tag{13}$$

Since $\sigma : [0, +\infty) \to \mathcal{P} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n_0\}$ is a piecewise constant switching signal, $H_{\sigma(t)}$ is a piecewise constant matrix and bounded. Thus, $A(t)$ is bounded over $[0, +\infty)$ and continuous on each time interval $[t_i, t_{i+1})$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. Clearly, $F(t)$ is continuous for all $t \geq 0$ and converges to zero exponentially.

By Lemma 2 of [14], under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2, for any $\mu_2 > 0$, the origin of the system

$$\dot{\tilde{v}} = A(t)\tilde{v} \tag{14}$$

is exponentially stable.

Let $\Phi(\tau, t)\tilde{v}$ be the solution of (14) that starts at $(t, \tilde{v})$. Define

$$P(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \Phi^T(\tau, t) Q \Phi(\tau, t) d\tau \tag{15}$$

where Q is some symmetric positive definite constant matrix. Clearly, $P(t)$ is continuous for all $t \geq 0$. Since the equilibrium point $\tilde{v} = 0$ of (14) is exponentially stable, we have

$$\|\Phi(\tau, t)\| \leq \alpha e^{-\lambda(\tau - t)}, \ \forall \tau \geq t \geq 0 \tag{16}$$

for some positive constants α and λ. It can be easily verified that $c_1\|\tilde{v}\|^2 \leq \tilde{v}^T P(t)\tilde{v} \leq c_2\|\tilde{v}\|^2$ for some positive constants c_1 and c_2, thus $P(t)$ is positive definite and bounded, we assume $\|P(t)\| \leq c_3$ for any $t \geq 0$ with c_3 being some positive constant.

On the other hand, since $A(t)$ is continuous on intervals $[t_i, t_{i+1})$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, we know that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi(\tau, t) = -\Phi(\tau, t)A(t),\ \ \Phi(t, t) = I_{n_v} \tag{17}$$

are satisfied for $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$ with $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. Then, for $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$ with $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{P}(t) =& \int_t^\infty \Phi^T(\tau, t)Q[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi(\tau, t)]d\tau + \int_t^\infty [\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi^T(\tau, t)]Q\Phi(\tau, t)d\tau - Q \\
=& -\int_t^\infty \Phi^T(\tau, t)Q\Phi(\tau, t)d\tau A(t) - A(t)^T \int_t^\infty \Phi^T(\tau, t)Q\Phi(\tau, t)d\tau - Q \\
=& -P(t)A(t) - A^T(t)P(t) - Q.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Let $V(\tilde{v}, t) = \tilde{v}^T P(t)\tilde{v}$. Then, $V(\tilde{v}, t)$ is positive definite. Along the trajectory of (13), for any $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$ with $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}|_{(13)} =& \tilde{v}^T(\dot{P}(t) + A^T P(t) + P(t)A(t))\tilde{v} + 2\tilde{v}^T P(t)\tilde{S}_d(t)\tilde{v} + 2\tilde{v}^T P(t)F(t) \\
=& -\tilde{v}^T Q\tilde{v} + 2\tilde{v}^T P(t)\tilde{S}_d(t)\tilde{v} + 2\tilde{v}^T P(t)F(t) \\
\leq& -\tilde{v}^T Q\tilde{v} + 2c_3\|\tilde{S}_d(t)\|\|\tilde{v}\|^2 + 2\tilde{v}^T P(t)F(t) \\
\leq& -\lambda_{\min}(Q)\|\tilde{v}\|^2 + 2c_3\|\tilde{S}_d(t)\|\|\tilde{v}\|^2 + \frac{\|P(t)\|^2}{\varepsilon}\|\tilde{v}\|^2 + \varepsilon\|F(t)\|^2 \\
\leq& -(\lambda_{\min}(Q) - 2c_3\|\tilde{S}_d(t)\| - \frac{c_3^2}{\varepsilon})\|\tilde{v}\|^2 + \varepsilon\|F(t)\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Choose $\varepsilon = \frac{2c_3^2}{\lambda_{\min}(Q)}$. Since $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \tilde{S}_d(t) = 0$ exponentially, there exist some positive integer l and some positive real number c_4, such that $\lambda_{\min}(Q) - 2c_3\|\tilde{S}_d(t)\| - \frac{c_3^2}{\varepsilon} > c_4 > 0$ for all $t \geq t_l$. We further let $\lambda_1 = \frac{c_4}{c_2}$. Then, for any $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$ with $i = l, l+1, l+2, \cdots$, we have

$$\dot{V}(t)|_{(13)} \leq -c_4\|\tilde{v}\|^2 + \varepsilon\|F(t)\|^2 \leq -\lambda_1 V(t)|_{(13)} + \varepsilon\|F(t)\|^2. \tag{20}$$

Since $F(t)$ converges to zero exponentially, there exist some positive constants γ_2 and $\lambda_2 \neq \lambda_1$ such that, for any $t \geq t_l$,

$$\varepsilon\|F(t)\|^2 \leq \gamma_2 e^{-\lambda_2(t-t_l)}\|F(t_l)\|^2. \tag{21}$$

By (20), for any $t \geq t_l$, we have

$$V(t)|_{(13)} \leq e^{-\lambda_1(t-t_l)}V(t_l)|_{(13)} + \int_{t_l}^t e^{-\lambda_1(t-\tau)}\varepsilon\|F(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \tag{22}$$

Note that, according to (21),

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{t_l}^{t} e^{-\lambda_1(t-\tau)}\varepsilon\|F(\tau)\|^2 d\tau &\le \int_{t_l}^{t} e^{-\lambda_1(t-\tau)}\gamma_2 e^{-\lambda_2(\tau-t_l)}\|F(t_l)\|^2 d\tau \\ &= \gamma_2\|F(t_l)\|^2 e^{\lambda_2 t_l}e^{-\lambda_1 t}\int_{t_l}^{t} e^{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\tau}d\tau \\ &= \frac{\gamma_2\|F(t_l)\|^2}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}e^{\lambda_2 t_l}e^{-\lambda_1 t}(e^{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)t}-e^{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)t_l}) \\ &= \frac{\gamma_2\|F(t_l)\|^2}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}(e^{-\lambda_2(t-t_l)}-e^{-\lambda_1(t-t_l)})\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

We further let $W(t_l)=\frac{\gamma_2\|F(t_l)\|^2}{|\lambda_1-\lambda_2|}$ and $\lambda_0=\min\{\lambda_1,\lambda_2\}$. Then, according to (22) and (23), for any $t\ge t_l$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}V(t)|_{(13)} \le& e^{-\lambda_1(t-t_l)}V(t_l)|_{(13)}+(e^{-\lambda_1(t-t_l)}+e^{-\lambda_2(t-t_l)})W(t_l) \\ \le& e^{-\lambda_0(t-t_l)}(V(t_l)|_{(13)}+2W(t_l))\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

that is to say, $\lim_{t\to+\infty}V(t)|_{(13)}=0$ exponentially. Together with $c_1\|\tilde{v}\|^2\le V(t)$, we have $\lim_{t\to+\infty}\tilde{v}(t)|_{(13)}=0$ exponentially. Thus the proof is completed. □

IV. Main Result

In this section, we will study the leader-following consensus problem for the system composed of (1) and (4) with jointly connected switching networks.

We first let $\tilde{v}_{di}\triangleq\mu_2\sum_{j=0}^{N}\bar{a}_{ij}(t)(\hat{v}_j-\hat{v}_i)$ and $\xi_i=D\hat{v}_i$ with $D=[I_n\ 0_{n\times(n+n_w)}]$. Then, under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\tilde{v}_{di}(t)=0 \tag{25}$$

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}(\xi_i(t)-q_0(t))=\lim_{t\to+\infty}D\tilde{v}_i(t)=0 \tag{26}$$

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}(\dot{\xi}_i(t)-\dot{q}_0(t))=\lim_{t\to+\infty}D\dot{\tilde{v}}_i(t)=0. \tag{27}$$

To develop a distributed control law, we let

$$p_{ri}=DS_i\hat{v}_i-\alpha(q_i-\xi_i) \tag{28}$$

where α is a positive constant. Then

$$\dot{p}_{ri}=D(\dot{S}_i\hat{v}_i+S_i\dot{\hat{v}}_i)-\alpha(\dot{q}_i-\dot{\xi}_i). \tag{29}$$

We further let

$$s_i=p_i-p_{ri}. \tag{30}$$

Now we give our control law as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &= -f_i^T(q_i,p_i)\hat{\theta}_i - d_i(\hat{w}_i) - k_i s_i + \dot{p}_{ri} \\ \dot{\hat{\theta}}_i &= f_i(q_i,p_i)s_i \\ \dot{S}_i &= \mu_1 \sum_{j=0}^{N} \bar{a}_{ij}(t)(S_j - S_i) \\ \dot{\hat{v}}_i &= S_i\hat{v}_i + \mu_2 \sum_{j=0}^{N} \bar{a}_{ij}(t)(\hat{v}_j - \hat{v}_i),\ i = 1,\cdots,N \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where k_i is some positive constant and $\hat{w}_i = [0_{n_w \times 2n}\ I_{n_w}]\hat{v}_i$.

Then we get the closed-loop system composed of (1) and (31) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}_i &= p_i,\ i = 1,\cdots,N \\ \dot{p}_i &= -f_i^T(q_i,p_i)\tilde{\theta}_i + \tilde{d}_i(\tilde{w}_i,w) - k_i s_i + \dot{p}_{ri} \\ \dot{\hat{\theta}}_i &= f_i(q_i,p_i)s_i \\ \dot{\tilde{S}}_i &= \mu_1 \sum_{j=0}^{N} \bar{a}_{ij}(t)(\tilde{S}_j - \tilde{S}_i) \\ \dot{\tilde{v}}_i &= \tilde{S}_i\hat{v}_i + S\tilde{v}_i + \mu_2 \sum_{j=0}^{N} \bar{a}_{ij}(t)(\tilde{v}_j - \tilde{v}_i). \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where $\tilde{\theta}_i = \hat{\theta}_i - \theta_i$, $\tilde{w}_i = \hat{w}_i - w$ and $\tilde{d}_i(\tilde{w}_i,w) = d_i(w) - d_i(\hat{w}_i)$. It is easy to see that $\tilde{d}_i(0,w) = 0$ for all $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n_w}$. Under Assumption 2.1, by Remark 2.1, we know that $w \in \mathbb{W}$ for all $t \geq 0$ with $\mathbb{W}$ being some compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n_w}$. Together with the condition that $d_i(\cdot)$ is a $\mathcal{C}^1$ function, we know that, by Lemma 7.8 of [5], there exists some smooth function $\bar{d}_i(\tilde{w}_i) \geq 1$ such that, for all $w \in \mathbb{W}$,

$$\|\tilde{d}_i(\tilde{w}_i,w)\|^2 \leq \bar{d}_i(\tilde{w}_i)\|\tilde{w}_i\|^2. \tag{33}$$

Remark 4.1: The construction of the control law (31) is based on the certainty equivalence principle. Suppose the state of the leader system is available by the control law of every follower. Then, instead of (28), we can define p_{ri} as follows:

$$p_{ri} = p_0 - \alpha(q_i - q_0) \tag{34}$$

Then, by the standard adaptive control method as can be found, say, in [11], we can show that the following so-called decentralized control law

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &= -f_i^T(q_i,p_i)\hat{\theta}_i - d_i(w) - k_i s_i + \dot{p}_{ri} \\ \dot{\hat{\theta}}_i &= f_i(q_i,p_i)s_i,\ i = 1,\cdots,N \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

solves Problem 2.1. However, the control law (35) is impractical because w is not available for control and it is uninteresting to assume the state of the leader system is available by every follower. To overcome this difficulty, we replace the leader's state in (35) by its estimation $\hat{v}$ generated by the adaptive distributed observer, thus leading to our distributed control law (31). Since the distributed control law (31) is more complicated than the decentralized control law (35), we need to provide a much more sophisticated stability analysis for the closed-loop system (32).

Now we give our result as follows.

Theorem 4.1: Under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2, the leader-following consensus problem for the system composed of (1) and (4) is solvable by the distributed control law (31).

Proof: Let

$$V = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(s_i^T s_i + \tilde{\theta}_i^T \tilde{\theta}_i). \tag{36}$$

Then the time derivative of V along the trajectory of the closed-loop system (32) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V} =& \sum_{i=1}^{N}(s_i^T \dot{s}_i + \tilde{\theta}_i^T \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_i)\\
=& \sum_{i=1}^{N}(s_i^T(\dot{p}_i - \dot{p}_{ri}) + \tilde{\theta}_i^T \dot{\hat{\theta}}_i)\\
=& \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(s_i^T(-f_i^T(q_i,p_i)\tilde{\theta}_i + \tilde{d}_i(\tilde{w}_i,w) - k_i s_i) + \tilde{\theta}_i^T f_i(q_i,p_i)s_i\right)\\
=& \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(s_i^T \tilde{d}_i(\tilde{w}_i,w) - k_i s_i^T s_i\right)\\
\leq& \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{4}\|s_i\|^2 + \|\tilde{d}_i(\tilde{w}_i,w)\|^2 - k_i\|s_i\|^2\right)\\
\leq& \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(-(k_i - \frac{1}{4})\|s_i\|^2 + \bar{d}_i(\tilde{w}_i)\|\tilde{w}_i\|^2\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Choosing $k_i \geq \frac{5}{4}$ gives

$$\dot{V} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(-\|s_i\|^2 + \bar{d}_i(\tilde{w}_i)\|\tilde{w}_i\|^2\right) \tag{38}$$

and thus

$$V(t) = \int_0^t \dot{V}(\tau)d\tau + c_0 \leq \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^{N}\bar{d}_i(\tilde{w}_i)\|\tilde{w}_i\|^2 d\tau + c_0 \tag{39}$$

where c_0 is some constant. By Lemma 3.1, under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2, $\lim_{t\to+\infty}\tilde{v}_i(t)=0$ exponentially, which implies $\lim_{t\to+\infty}\tilde{w}_i(t)=0$ exponentially. Together with the fact that $\bar{d}_i(\cdot)$ is smooth, we know $\bar{d}_i(\tilde{w}_i)$ is bounded. Thus we obtain that $\lim_{t\to+\infty}\int_0^t\sum_{i=1}^N\bar{d}_i(\tilde{w}_i)\|\tilde{w}_i\|^2d\tau$ exists and hence $\lim_{t\to+\infty}V(t)$ exists. Thus, $V(t)$ is bounded for all $t\geq 0$, which implies, for $i=1,\cdots,N$, s_i and $\tilde{\theta}_i$ are bounded for all $t\geq 0$. By (29) and (30), we know $s_i(t)$ is differentiable on each interval $[t_k,t_{k+1})$ for all $k\geq 0$ and so is $\dot{V}(t)$. By (28) and (30), we have

$$\dot{q}_i+\alpha q_i=s_i+DS_i\hat{v}_i+\alpha\xi_i. \tag{40}$$

Since s_i, S_i, $\hat{v}_i$ and ξ_i are all bounded, (40) can be viewed as a stable first order linear system in q_i with a bounded input, and thus both q_i and $\dot{q}_i$ are bounded. Therefore, from (28) and (29), we know that p_{ri} and $\dot{p}_{ri}$ are both bounded. From the second equation of (32), we know that $\dot{p}_i$ is bounded. Thus $\dot{s}_i=\dot{p}_i-\dot{p}_{ri}$ is also bounded. Note that

$$\ddot{V}=\sum_{i=1}^N\left(s_i^T(\frac{\partial\tilde{d}_i(\tilde{w}_i,w)}{\partial\tilde{w}_i}\dot{\tilde{w}}_i+\frac{\partial\tilde{d}_i(\tilde{w}_i,w)}{\partial w}\dot{w})+\dot{s}_i^T\tilde{d}_i(\tilde{w}_i,w)-2k_is_i^T\dot{s}_i\right). \tag{41}$$

Since s_i, $\dot{s}_i$, w, $\dot{w}$, $\tilde{w}_i$ and $\dot{\tilde{w}}_i$ are all bounded, there exists a positive number γ such that

$$\sup_{t_k\leq t<t_{k+1},k=0,1,\cdots}|\ddot{V}(t)|\leq\gamma. \tag{42}$$

Then, by Corollary 1 of [13], $\lim_{t\to+\infty}\dot{V}(t)=0$ and thus, from (38), we have $\lim_{t\to+\infty}s_i(t)=0$ for $i=1,\cdots,N$. Next, by (8), (28) and (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{q}_i-\dot{\xi}_i+\alpha(q_i-\xi_i)&=p_i-D\dot{\hat{v}}_i+\alpha(q_i-\xi_i)\\&=s_i+DS_i\hat{v}_i-D\dot{\hat{v}}_i\\&=s_i-D\tilde{v}_{di}\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

From (25), we know that $\lim_{t\to+\infty}\tilde{v}_{di}(t)=0$. Note that equation (43) can be viewed as a stable first order differential equation in $(q_i-\xi_i)$ with $s_i-D\tilde{v}_{di}$ as the input, and this input is bounded for all $t\geq 0$ and tends to zero as $t\to+\infty$, then we conclude that both $q_i-\xi_i$ and $\dot{q}_i-\dot{\xi}_i$ are bounded for all $t\geq 0$ and tend to zero as $t\to+\infty$. Together with (26), (27) and the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}q_i-q_0&=(q_i-\xi_i)+(\xi_i-q_0)\\p_i-p_0&=(\dot{q}_i-\dot{\xi}_i)+(\dot{\xi}_i-\dot{q}_0)\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

our proof is thus completed. □

V. An Example

Consider the leader-following consensus problem for a group of Vol del Pol systems as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}_i &= p_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \\ \dot{p}_i &= -\theta_{1i} q_i + \theta_{2i} p_i (1 - q_i^2) + d_i(w) + u_i \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

where $q_i, p_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $w = [w_1, w_2]^T$, $d_1(w) = w_1 w_2$, $d_2(w) = w_1^3$, $d_3(w) = w_1^2 w_2$ and $d_4(w) = w_1 w_2 + w_2^3$. Clearly, system (45) is in the form of (1) with $f_i(q_i, p_i) = [-q_i, p_i(1 - q_i^2)]^T$ and $\theta_i = [\theta_{1i}, \theta_{2i}]^T$.

The exosystem is in the form of (4) with $S_a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $S_b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.5 \\ -0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Clearly, Assumption 2.1 is satisfied.

We assume that the communication graph $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{\sigma(t)}$ is dictated by the following switching signal:

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } sT_0 \le t < (s + \frac{1}{4})T_0 \\ 2, & \text{if } (s + \frac{1}{4})T_0 \le t < (s + \frac{1}{2})T_0 \\ 3, & \text{if } (s + \frac{1}{2})T_0 \le t < (s + \frac{3}{4})T_0 \\ 4, & \text{if } (s + \frac{3}{4})T_0 \le t < (s + 1)T_0 \end{cases} \tag{46}$$

where $s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. The four digraphs $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are described by Figure 1 where the node 0 is associated with the leader and the other nodes are associated with the followers. Clearly, Assumption 2.2 is satisfied even though the four digraphs $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_i$ are all disconnected.



(a) $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_1$ (b) $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_2$ (c) $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_3$ (d) $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_4$

Fig. 1: Switching topology $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{\sigma(t)}$ with $\mathcal{P} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

Then, by Theorem 4.1, we can design a distributed control law of the form (31) with $\mu_1 = 2$, $\mu_2 = 15$, $\alpha = 1$ and $k_i = 2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Simulation is performed with $\theta_1 = [8, 2]^T$, $\theta_2 = [3, 4]^T$, $\theta_3 = [1, 5]^T$, $\theta_4 = [2, 6]^T$, and the following initial conditions:

$$[q_1(0), p_1(0)] = [-1, 2],\ [q_2(0), p_2(0)] = [-2, 3],\ [q_3(0), p_3(0)] = [3, -2]$$
$$[q_4(0), p_4(0)] = [4, -3],\ \hat{v}_1(0) = [1, 3, 4, -4]^T,\ \hat{v}_2(0) = [3, -2, 1, -3]^T,\ \hat{v}_3(0) = [5, 2, -1, 2]^T$$
$$\hat{v}_4(0) = [-1, -3, -2, 1]^T,\ v(0) = [2, 0, 1, -1]^T,\ \hat{\theta}_i(0) = 0_{2\times 1},\ S_i(0) = 0_{4\times 4},\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$



Fig. 2: States of leader system: $v = \text{col}(q_0, p_0, w_1, w_2)$



Fig. 3: Estimation errors: $\text{col}(\hat{v}_{1i}, \hat{v}_{2i}) - \text{col}(q_0, p_0)$



Fig. 4: Estimation errors: $\text{col}(\hat{v}_{3i}, \hat{v}_{4i}) - \text{col}(w_1, w_2)$



Fig. 5: Tracking performance of q_i and p_i.

Figure 2 shows the states of the leader system which are bounded for all time $t \geq 0$. Figures 3 and 4 show the estimation errors of the observer for each follower, and it can be seen that all estimation errors approach zero as t tends to infinity, thus the adaptive distributed observer works quite well. Figure 5 shows that the tracking errors of q_i and p_i approach zero asymptotically.

VI. Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied the leader-following consensus problem for a class of second-order nonlinear multi-agent systems subject to both uncertainty and disturbance under jointly connected switching networks. Based on the certainty equivalence principle and the adaptive distributed observer method, our problem has been solved by a distributed adaptive control law.

Appendix

A. Switching signal

A time function $\sigma : [0, +\infty) \to \mathcal{P} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n_0\}$ is called a piecewise constant switching signal if there exists a sequence $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < t_2, \ldots$ satisfying $t_{i+1} - t_i \geq \tau_0 > 0$ for some constant τ_0 and all $i \geq 0$ such that, for any $i \geq 0$, for all $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$, $\sigma(t) = p$ for some $p \in \mathcal{P}$, where n_0 is some positive integer, t_i is called the switching instant, $\mathcal{P}$ is called the switching index set and τ_0 is called the dwell time.

B. Digraph

A digraph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ consists of a finite set of nodes $\mathcal{V} = \{1 \cdots, N\}$ and an edge set $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$. An edge of $\mathcal{E}$ from node i to node j is denoted by (i, j), where node i and j are called the parent node and the child node of each other. Define $\mathcal{N}_i = \{j | (j, i) \in \mathcal{E}\}$, which is called the neighbor set of node i. The edge (i, j) is called undirected if $(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}$ implies $(j, i) \in \mathcal{E}$. The digraph $\mathcal{G}$ is called undirected if every edge in $\mathcal{E}$ is undirected. If the

digraph $\mathcal{G}$ contains a sequence of edges of the form $(i_1, i_2), (i_2, i_3), \cdots, (i_k, i_{k+1})$, then the set $\{(i_1, i_2), (i_2, i_3), \cdots, (i_k, i_{k+1})\}$ is called a path of $\mathcal{G}$ from node i_1 to node i_{k+1} and node i_{k+1} is said to be reachable from node i_1. A digraph is called connected if there exists a node i such that any other nodes are reachable from node i. A digraph $\mathcal{G}_s = (\mathcal{V}_s, \mathcal{E}_s)$ is called a subgraph of the digraph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ if $\mathcal{V}_s \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{E}_s \subseteq \mathcal{E} \cap (\mathcal{V}_s \times \mathcal{V}_s)$. Given a set of n_0 digraphs $\{\mathcal{G}_i = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}_i), i = 1, \cdots, n_0\}$, the digraph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ where $\mathcal{E} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} \mathcal{E}_i$ is called the union of digraphs $\mathcal{G}_i$, denoted by $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} \mathcal{G}_i$.

The weighted adjacency matrix of the digraph $\mathcal{G}$ is a nonnegative matrix $\mathcal{A} = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$ where $a_{ii} = 0$ and $a_{ij} > 0 \Leftrightarrow (j, i) \in \mathcal{E}$, $i, j = 1, \cdots, N$. On the other hand, given a matrix $\mathcal{A} = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$ satisfying $a_{ii} = 0$ and $a_{ij} \geq 0$ for $i, j = 0, 1, \cdots, N$, we can always define a digraph $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ is the weighted adjacency matrix of the digraph $\mathcal{G}$. We call $\mathcal{G}$ the digraph of $\mathcal{A}$.

Given a piecewise constant switching signal $\sigma : [0, +\infty) \to \mathcal{P} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n_0\}$, and a set of n_0 graphs $\mathcal{G}_i = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n_0$ with the corresponding weighted adjacency matrices being denoted by $\mathcal{A}_i$, $i = 1, \cdots, n_0$, we call a time-varying graph $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma(t)} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}_{\sigma(t)})$ a switching graph, and denote the weighted adjacency matrix of $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma(t)}$ by $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma(t)}$.

REFERENCES

[1] H. Cai and J. Huang, "The leader-following consensus for multiple uncertain Euler-Lagrange systems with an adaptive distributed observer," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, DOI: 10.1109/TAC.2015.2504728, 2015.

[2] H. Cai, F. L. Lewis, G. Hu and J. Huang, "Cooperative output regulation of linear multi-agent systems by adaptive distributed observer," *IEEE 54th Annual Conference on Decision and Control*, Osaka, Japan, December 15-18, 2015, pp. 5432-5437.

[3] Y. Hong, G. Chen, and L. Bushnell, "Distributed observers design for leader-following control of multi-agent networks," *Automatica*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 846-850, 2008.

[4] J. Hu and Y. Hong, "Leader-following coordination of multi-agent systems with coupling time delays," *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, vol. 374, no. 2, pp. 853-863, 2007.

[5] J. Huang, *Nonlinear output regulation: theory and applications*, Phildelphia, PA: SIAM, 2004.

[6] A. Jadbabaie, J. Lin, and A. S. Morse, "Coordination of groups of mobile agents using nearest neighbor rules," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 988-1001, 2003.

[7] W. Liu and J. Huang, "Cooperative global robust output regulation for a class of nonlinear multi-Agent systems with switching network," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 60, no. 7, pp. 1963 - 1968, 2015.

[8] W. Liu and J. Huang, "Leader-following consensus for uncertain second-order nonlinear multi-agent systems", submitted to *the 12th IEEE International Conference on Control & Automation, Kathmandu, Nepal, June 1-3, 2016.*

[9] J. Mei, W. Ren and G. Ma. "Distributed coordination for second-order multi-agent systems with nonlinear dynamics using only relative position measurements," *Automatica*, vol. 49, no. 7, pp. 21072115, 2013.

[10] W. Ni, and D. Cheng, "Leader-following consensus of multi-agent systems under fixed and switching topologies," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 59, no. 3-4, pp. 209-217, 2010.

[11] J. J. E. Slotine and W. Li, *Applied Nonlinear Control*, Prentice Hall Englewood Cliffs, 1991.

[12] Q. Song, J. Cao, and W. Yu, "Second-order leader-following consensus of nonlinear multi-agents via pinning control." *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 59, no. 9, pp. 553-562, 2010

[13] Y. Su and J. Huang, "Stability of a class of linear switching systems with applications to two consensus problems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 57, no. 6, pp. 1420-1430, 2012.

[14] Y. Su and J. Huang, "Cooperative output regulation with application to multi-agent consensus under switching network," *IEEE Transactions on Systems. Man and Cybernetics-Part B: Cybernetics*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 864-875, 2012.

[15] C. Wang, and H. Ji, "Robust consensus tracking for a class of heterogeneous second-order nonlinear multi-agent systems," *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*, DOI: 10.1002/rnc.3269, 2014.

[16] X. Wang, D. Xu and Y. Hong, "Consensus control of nonlinear leader-follower multi-agent systems with actuating disturbances," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 73, pp. 58-66, 2014.

[17] Y. Wang, and J. Cao, "Leader-following consensus for second-order multi-agent systems with directed switching topologies," *Proceedings of the 33rd Chinese Control Conference*, Nanjin, China, pp.5453-5458, July 28-30, 2014.

[18] W. Yu, W. Ren, W. Zheng, G. Chen, J. L, "Distributed control gains design for consensus in multi-agent systems with second-order nonlinear dynamics", *Automatica*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 1419-1427, 2013.